

RIPE



Managing the RIPE Database



Is it time for a change?

- Lots of frustration in recent years over (lack of) community involvement in database management
- Is the real problem our expectation from the community?

RIPE NCC services

- The RIPE NCC manages many services for the membership, resource holders and wider community
- RIPEstat, LIR portal, RPKI, Web interfaces, SSO, ...
- None of these *DEMAND* high levels of community involvement over the fine detail of every small technical change
- So why should we demand/expect this for the RIPE Database?
- RIPE database was one of the foundations of the RIPE NCC
- It's still a unique service, but it has matured



Time management

- Everyone is busy these days, lots of demands on your time
- In a business sense, people will only invest time in things that give them a return
- What is your return on a small technical or operational change to the RIPE DB?
- You may see a comment and think 'that could be useful' ...
- IF ONLY SOMEONE ELSE WOULD SORT IT OUT!!
- To expect you to get heavily involved in discussions on analysis/design/implementation details is unrealistic



What is important to you?

- Lets create two categories of changes to the Database:
 - Changes involving registry and policy issues
 - Changes of a technical or operational nature
- These two categories can be managed in different ways
- Over time we can fine tune what change fits which category

Registry and Policy changes

- These are often critical changes
- They need wide discussion and consensus
- They may need the full PDP
- Examples - Privacy, Legal addresses, Changing the database purposes

Technical and Operational changes

- Even with NWIs we still require community discussion and consensus
- Community often indifferent on detail and/or outcome
- No discussion or comment – no consensus - no change
- Even though no one has any objections
- Database technically frozen in time

Technical and Operational changes (contd)

- The chairs propose a new way to manage these changes
- Announce each issue/problem as we do now with NWIs
- Anyone in the community can comment or not as you wish
- Allow the RIPE NCC to propose solutions and implementations
- Give the RIPE NCC a mandate to make these changes, overseen by the chairs
- Examples - assigning an allocation, historical queries beyond deletion, NRTMv4

Effect of this change

- If community comments and discusses the issue, this is taken into account for decision
- If community is indifferent on detail/outcome, the chairs instruct the NCC to get on with it
- Everything done openly and transparently
- Community can (re)start discussion at any time and change decisions
- Changes are rarely irreversible
- Database evolves and improves as a service
- **Community is still in control**



RIPE Database policy

- Many issues involving/surrounding database that could be considered as policy, outside of existing policy (eg Address Policy)
- Chairs propose creating a basic RIPE Database Policy using the PDP
- Policy to be developed/extended over time
- Eg RIPE Database purposes
 - Terms & Conditions (OF USE) is not the right place to define it's purposes
 - Put there in 2010 as a convenience
- Eg This process for managing change



What next?

- This presentation is the bare bones of an idea
- If we move on with it we can expand on the detail
- The goals are:
 - To keep the RIPE Database moving forward as the industry and society changes and keep up with those changes
 - Improve it over time as a product and a service
 - Avoid any technical freeze due to indifference
 - Make changes efficiently and conclusively



Discuss...

Questions?

