


# What Is the DNS Resolver TF?



The Task Force will gather best current practices for the operation of DNS resolvers and look at various design alternatives. The aim is to describe the consequences of the various choices and to work toward producing recommendations of operational practices that will beavailable to the RIPE community and the Internet community at large.

#### Status

- TF not super active
- Fairly comprehensive list of topics
- Dreams



## Background

- Public Resolvers exist
  - Centralized, outside of Europe, ...
- EU Commission has Serious Concerns
  - Takes expected EU Commision actions
- RIPE community has Serious Concerns
  - Does not want to set up public resolvers
  - Does want to help people run public resolvers

Local Host

# Philosophy & Approaches

- Re-use Existing Work
  - Lots of standards, BCP, other documents
- It's Okay to Have Opinions



cache

cache additions

# Topics of Special Interest

Local Host user queries user responses cache additions v referen t cache

- Centralization is (mostly) bad
- Open Source is (usually) better than proprietary


# **Other Topics**

- Capacity
- Resilience
- Anycasting
- Software Considerations
- Knobs to tweak in the DNS

- Privacy & anonymity
- Filtering
- Transparency
- Finances
- Communication
   channels



#### **Current Workspace**



#### https://github.com/.../Resolver-Recommendations

	RFC8484
• Capacity	◦ DoQ
<ul> <li>CPU/network</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>RFC9250</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Multi-layer caching</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Trust anchor reporting</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>How to estimate</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>DNS error reporting</li> </ul>
Resilience	<ul> <li>draft-ietf-dnsop-dns-error-reporting</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Diversity of software, geography, toplogy.</li> </ul>	Privacy & anonymity
<ul> <li>Bare metal vs. VM vs. containers, self-hosted vs. hosted vs. cloud</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Logging considerations</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Diversity of organizations, legal frameworks</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>How to handle user accounts</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>(D)DoS measures, such as filtering/rate-limiting traffic, both authoritative and client sides</li> </ul>	Filtering
<ul> <li>RPKI, other BGP tricks</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Legally required blocking (how to figure out which applies to any given query?)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Common HA designs in DNS resolver space</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>RPZ-based filtering</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Security best practices (keep stuff updated, follow CERTs, and so on)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Opt-in/opt-out mechanisms</li> </ul>
Anycasting	Transparency
<ul> <li>Why and how (especially problems with listing multiple resolvers in user configurations).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Policies</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Other options to anycasting?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Finances, ownership, and so on</li> </ul>
Software Considerations	<ul> <li>Outages</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Open Source advantages (and disadvantages), licenses</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Statistics</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Custom tweaks/implementations</li> </ul>	Finances
<ul> <li>Platforms (it's all Unix these days)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>How to pay for all of this?</li> </ul>
Knobs to tweak in the DNS	Communication channels
<ul> <li>TTL limits (max &amp; min)</li> </ul>	∘ Web page
<ul> <li>Local root (and maybe local TLD?)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>E-mail (DANE protected)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>RFC8806</li> </ul>	Courity reporting channels

## Next Steps

- Revisit TF members?
- Add text
- Publish draft RIPE document
- Elicit feedback, both from RIPE and DNS OARC
- ???
- Profit!

